

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00250 021832Z

50

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02

INR-07 IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03

PRS-01 SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00

NSC-05 BIB-01 NRC-05 ERDE-00 /094 W

----- 018844

P 021645Z JUNE 75

FM US DEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1006

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO ALL MBFR MISSIONS 0156

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

US DEL SALT TWO GENEVA 0033

AMEMBASSY ANKARA

S E C R E T MBFR VIENNA 0250

GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL, DISTO, AND NPT REVCON

FROM US REP MBFR

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: SUMMARY REPORT FOR PERIOD

MAY 26- JUNE 1, 1975

1. BEGIN SUMMARY: THE MBFR NEGOTIATIONS CONTINUED TO  
MARK TIME THIS WEEK, WITH BOTH EAST AND WEST REPEATING  
THEIR STANDARD POSITIONS. IN THE INFORMAL SESSION AND  
IN BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS, EASTERN REPS STRESSED THE  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00250 021832Z

NEED TO INCLUDE NUCLEAR FORCES IN REDUCTIONS AND STATED THAT ISSUES OF PRINCIPLE MUST BE RESOLVED PRIOR TO A DISCUSSION OF DATA. IN BILATERALS, EASTERN REPS AGAIN VOICED READINESS TO DISCUSS DEFINITIONS IN THIS ROUND, BUT INDICATED THAT SUCH A DISCUSSION MUST INCLUDE DEFINITIONS OF ALL FORCES AND ARMAMENTS. THE ALLIES INTEND TO CONTINUE PRESSING THE EAST ON DATA IN THE NEXT INFORMAL MEETINGS. END SUMMARY

2. THIS WAS A QUIET WEEK IN THE VIENNA TALKS; THERE WERE FEW BILATERALS, A RATHER CONVENTIONAL INFORMAL SESSION AND A PLENARY MEETING LIMITED TO A SHORT STANDARD PRESENTATION, BY CANADIAN REP GRANDE, ON THE ALLIED TWO-PHASE APPROACH.

3. THE MAY CANADIAN PLENARY STATEMENT EMPHASIZED THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE US AND USSR AND ALL THE REMAINING DIRECT PARTICIPANTS. GIVEN THESE DIFFERENCES, AND IN ORDER TO CREATE THE NECESSARY CONFIDENCE THAT WOULD MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR THE NON-US DIRECT PARTICIPANTS TO TAKE PART IN SECOND PHASE REDUCTIONS, GRANDE STATED THAT THE WEST WAS JUSTIFIED IN REQUIRING THAT THE FIRST PHASE AGREEMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FOR REDUCTIONS LIMITED TO US AND SOVIET FORCES, TOGETHER WITH AGREEMENT ON THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT. CANADIAN REP CONCLUDED THAT THIS PHASED APPROACH WAS THE REALISTIC WAY TO ENSURE THE PARTICPATION OF ALL DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN REDUCTIONS.

4. ALLIED REPS CONTINUED TO PRESS FOR A DISCUSSION OF DATA AT THE MAY 27 INFORMAL SESSION. SOVIET REP KHLESTOV RESPONDED BY CLAIMING THAT THE WEST WAS DELIBERATELY OVEREMPHASIZING THE DATA ISSUE, AND BY RESTATING EASTERN REASONS FOR REFUSING TO DISCUSS DATA UNTIL AFTER RESOLUTION OF SUCH BASIC ISSUES AS WHOSE FORCES SHOULD BE REDUCED FROM THE OUTSET AND WHAT TYPE OF FORCES SHOULD BE REDUCED. IN THE INFORMAL, AS WELL AS DURING DISCUSSIONS WITH TWO SEPARATE VISITING CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATIONS, KHLESTOV MADE UNYIELDING PRESENTATIONS IN OPPOSING A DATA EXCHANGE AT THIS STAGE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. BESIDES SEEKING TO PRESS THE SOVIET

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00250 021832Z

REP ON THE IMPORTANCE OF A DATA EXCHANGE, CODEL MEMBERS ALSO STRONGLY EMPHASIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF ADEQUATE PROVISIONS ON VERIFICATION IN ANY MBFR AGREEMENT.

5. IN THE FEW BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS THIS WEEK, SOVIET DELEGATES REITERATED THEIR OPPOSITION TO A DATA EXCHANGE

PRIOR TO RESOLUTION OF ISSUES OF PRINCIPLE BUT  
INDICATED EASTERN READINESS TO DISCUSS DEFINITIONS IN  
THIS ROUND. THESE EASTERN DELEGATES INDICATED THAT  
SUCH A DISCUSSION SHOULD COVER DEFINITIONS OF ALL  
FORCES AND ARMAMENTS, INCLUDING NUCLEAR ARMAMENTS, AND  
NOT JUST GROUND FORCES AS SUGGESTED BY THE WEST.

6. THE EAST STRONGLY ATTACKED THE WESTERN REDUCTION  
APPROACH FOR EXCLUDING NUCLEAR FORCES. IN THE INFORMAL  
MEETING, POLISH REP STRULAK VIGOROUSLY CRITICIZED  
THE  
WESTERN REDUCTION APPROACH AS PERMITTING AN UNCON-  
TROLLED ARMS RACE IN TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS, AIRCRAFT,  
AND GROUND FORCE ARMAMENTS. IN A BILATERAL TALK, THE SOVIET  
DELEGATIONS MILITARY REP SAID THE WEST COULD NOT EXPECT  
ANY AGREEMENT AS LONG AS NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WERE EXCLUDED  
FROM REDUCTIONS. RESOR

SECRET

NNN

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* SECRET

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ARMS, MEETING AGENDA, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 02 JUN 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975MBFRV00250  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D750192-0236  
**From:** US DEL MBFR VIENNA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750694/aaaagris.tel  
**Line Count:** 136  
**Locator:** TEXT ON MICROFILM, TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <07 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> wfs 971009  
**Subject:** MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: SUMMARY REPORT FOR PERIOD MAY 26- JUNE 1, 1975  
**TAGS:** PARM, AU, GM, MBFR, NATO  
**To:** DOD  
STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006